# Acts: History vs. Historical Precedent

### What is Acts?

- "A historical account of the life of Jesus lived out through the Holy Spirit in the early Church"
- Written by Luke\*
  - Is Vol. 2 to his Gospel (see Luke 1:1-4; Acts 1:1-3)
- The Greek name is  $\Pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon \iota \varsigma A \pi o \sigma \tau o \lambda \omega v$  (Praxeis Apostolon)
  - o "That which was done by the Apostles"
    - Apostle = "One who is sent"
- Like OT historical narratives
  - Which we often mistakenly moralize, allegorize, and personalize
- Unlike OT historical narratives
  - We often use Acts as a <u>model</u> for Christian behavior and church life
  - We often see them as setting <u>precedents</u> for our lives today

#### How do we use Acts?

- The lack of hermeneutical <u>precision</u> with the handling of Acts has caused <u>divisions</u> in the Church over issues like:
  - Infant vs. Believers' <u>Baptism</u>
  - Congregationalism vs. Episcopalian Polity
    - Polity: "The way in which a Church is governed"
  - The <u>frequency</u> of observing the Lord's supper
  - o Election of church leadership
  - Selling possessions and <u>communal</u> living
  - o <u>Snake</u> handling

#### Acts as History

- Luke utilized a form of literature known as <u>Hellenistic Historiography</u>
  - Rooted in <u>Thucydides</u> (c. 460-40 BC)
  - Flourished in the <u>Hellenistic</u> period (c. 300 BC- AD 200)
  - Not written *just* to keep <u>chronological</u> records
  - Also written to <u>encourage</u>, <u>entertain</u>, <u>inform</u>, <u>moralize</u>, or offer an <u>apologetic</u>
- Luke was also steeped in the OT
  - OT <u>Narrative</u> form permeates his writings
- Both of these forms are true of both his <u>Gospel</u> and <u>Acts</u>
- Luke is telling a compelling story

 Which he uses to show the divine activity of <u>Jesus</u> and the <u>Holy Spirit</u> as a continuation of God's work in the <u>OT</u>

## Luke's Intent?

- Thus, we need to familiarize ourselves with Luke's <u>theological</u> interests to understand his writings
- How we answer the question of Luke's intent dictates how we understand Acts hermeneutical application
  - $\circ$  Was his intent to lay a foundation of behavior for the Church for all time?
  - Or was it to something else?
  - In other words, is Acts to be read as <u>history</u> or <u>historical precedent</u>?

#### How to read Acts

- Acts must be read in sections dictated by <u>narrative</u> elements
  - Whole <u>stories</u> as part of a larger <u>narrative</u>
  - We must be careful not to take verse out of <u>context</u>
- 1. It is best to read it through 1<sup>st</sup> in one or two sitting
- 2. Reread sections asking <u>exegetical</u> questions
  - Making note of:
    - Key <u>people</u> and <u>places</u>
    - Recurring <u>motifs</u>
    - Natural <u>divisions</u>
- 3. Skim read, writing down <u>references</u> based on your previous observations (step 2)
- 4. Ask yourself, why did Luke write this book?
  - Considering why *this* particular narrative has been included